MWMC Scoring Criteria for Student Posters 24th Annual Conference – December 7, 2018

Title of Poster:	
Judge:	
Evaluation:	5 = Outstanding 4 = Excellent 3 = Very Good 2 = Good 1 = Poor 0 = Unacceptable
Overall Effecti	iveness
	the abstract concisely state the objectives and scope of the investigation, summarize what was a the principal results and conclusions? Does it motivate interest? Does the presentation
Is infortested clearly st	mof Presentation (5-0) mation presented in a logical sequence? Is the purpose of the study or hypothesis being tated? Are the main points, conclusions, and interpretations developed and integrated in a ? Is there a clear take-home message?
Scientific Cont	tent
	(5-0) ne study show a high degree of originality and creativity? Is information presented that is rest to other professionals? Is related work recognized where appropriate?
Are the	and Interpretation (5-0) design and methods appropriate and adequate for the purpose of the study? Are statistical any) used correctly? Are conclusions based on presented results?
	n to Scientific Discipline (5-0) ne study make an important contribution to a branch of science?
Quality of Pres	sentation
Does th	munication (5-0) ne presenter speak clearly, with sufficient volume? Does the presenter display enthusiasm ll? Is the presenter able to relay concepts? Does the presenter have a professional demeanor?
Are gr	Graphics (5-0) aphics and layout clear, readable, and comprehensible, with appropriate degree of they relevant and effective?
Is the p	ring of Subject (5-0) bresenter able to answer questions effectively? Does the presenter display knowledge and of the study topic? Is the student the person primarily responsible for the work?

Comments: